

**Solicitation N00383-03-R-AN99, Amendment 0003**

**The following is added to Section L, Part III under 1. Part I- Past Performance**

H. The content of the past performance proposal shall address the following for the contracts identified:

- 1) Proposal elements. (Please provide original and 3 copies):
  - (a) Contractor demonstrated ability to perform on other contracts of this scope and complexity
  - (b) Quality of products previously delivered
  - (c) Timeliness of performance
  - (d) Customer overall satisfaction
  - (e) Management and technical approach met previous contract requirement
  - (f) The extent of participation of Small Business, Small Disadvantaged Business, Woman-owned Small Businesses, historically Black Colleges or Universities and Minority Institutions. The extent to which offerors have utilized small business in recent contracts and identify and commit to the use of these entities in performance of this acquisition will be evaluated.

**The following is added to Section L, Part III under 2. Part II- Price**

A. The content of the price proposal shall address the following:

- 1) Proposal elements. (Please provide original and 3 copies):
  - (a) Price

**The following is added to Section L, Part III- Proposal Content:**

3. Part III- Technical Proposal

A. The content of the technical proposal shall address the following. No one element shall exceed 15 pages:

- 1) Proposal elements. (Please provide original and 3 copies):
  - (a) ability to design composite RF transparent structures

- (b) ability to perform thermal and structural analysis of the radome
- (c) ability to process advanced high performance, high temperature and pressure curing composites
- (d) ability to perform radome electrical testing
- (e) mechanical test capabilities
- (f) tooling design capabilities
- (g) composite repair capability
- (h) personnel and management approach consistent with meeting technical and schedule requirements
- (i) available facilities for meeting technical and schedule requirements
- (j) approach to providing comprehensive logistics support and configuration management of modified radomes
- (k) ability to implement a Quality Assurance program in accordance with Section 4.0 of NSWC specification 802027212200
- (l) ability to comply with personnel and facility clearance to the Confidential level. (e.g. prime contractor performing at multiple locations, subcontractors performing tasks requiring access to classified documentation)

**Section M, Clause NAVSUPMMA05- Best Value Evaluation, is revised as noted below to correspond with additions to Section L:**

(d) Evaluation Methodology:

The Government shall utilize the following methodology in evaluating proposals. A Technical Evaluation, Past Performance Evaluation, and Price Evaluation shall be conducted in accordance with the hierarchy listed below. Technical will be a more important factor than Past Performance and Price. Past Performance will be a more important factor than Price.

1) Technical- Evaluation Factors (listed in order of importance)

- (a) ability to design composite RF transparent structures
- (b) ability to perform thermal and structural analysis of the radome
- (c) ability to process advanced high performance, high temperature and pressure curing composites
- (d) ability to perform radome electrical testing
- (e) mechanical test capabilities
- (f) tooling design capabilities
- (g) composite repair capability
- (h) personnel and management approach consistent with meeting technical and schedule requirements
- (i) available facilities for meeting technical and schedule requirements
- (j) approach to providing comprehensive logistics support and configuration management of modified radomes
- (k) ability to implement a Quality Assurance program in accordance with Section 4.0 of NSWC specification 802027212200
- (l) ability to comply with personnel and facility clearance to the Confidential level. (e.g. prime contractor performing at multiple locations, subcontractors performing tasks requiring access to classified documentation)

2) Past Performance- Evaluation Factors (all factors shall be given equal weight in evaluation.)

- (g) Contractor demonstrated ability to perform on other contracts of this scope and complexity
- (h) Quality of products previously delivered
- (i) Timeliness of performance
- (j) Customer overall satisfaction
- (k) Management and technical approach met previous contract requirement
- (l) The extent of participation of Small Business, Small Disadvantaged Business, Woman-owned Small Businesses, historically Black Colleges or Universities and Minority Institutions. The extent to which offerors have utilized small business in recent contracts and identify and commit to the use of these entities in performance of this acquisition will be evaluated.

3) Price- Evaluation Factors (listed in order of importance)

- (a) Price

**The following changes/clarifications are made to the Statement of Work and Specification:**

In the Statement of Work and Specification, Government Facilities were committed to be made available for the Construction Sample High Power tests and the Initial Production Unit High Power tests as called out in specification paragraphs 4.2.1.4 and 4.2.2.9 respectively. This should not be construed as suggesting that test or any other Government facilities will be available to support contractor testing or production efforts other than those mentioned specifically in the Statement of Work and Specification. The successful offeror may inquire with NWSC Crane or any other activity for an additional option for test/repair facilities for the purpose of accomplishing other required testing. However, at this time the Government cannot ensure the availability of Government facilities beyond what is specified in the Statement of Work and Specification. Therefore, all offerors must have plans to accomplish other required testing via means that do not include use of Government facilities should Government facilities be unavailable. Proposals should be developed accordingly.